



## The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

## ONLINE PUBLICATION ONLY

## 902.HEALTH SERVICES AND QUALITY IMPROVEMENT - LYMPHOID MALIGNANCIES

**Subcutaneous Daratumumab at Home Is a Safe and Effective Procedure for Frail Patients with Multiple Myeloma: A Myelhome Project Report**

Gioia De Angelis, MD<sup>1</sup>, Alessia Fiorini, MD<sup>1</sup>, Giulio Trapè, MD<sup>1</sup>, Valentina Panichi, BD<sup>2</sup>, Maria Gabriela Chavez, MD<sup>3</sup>, Elisa Emanuelli Cippitelli, Nurse<sup>1</sup>, Vincenza Innocenti, Nurse<sup>1</sup>, Roberta Perazzini, Nurse<sup>1</sup>, Roberta Talucci, Nurse<sup>1</sup>, Giuseppe Topini, BD<sup>2</sup>, Ambra Di Veroli, MD<sup>1</sup>, Caterina Mercanti, MD<sup>1</sup>, Fiammetta Natalino, MD<sup>1</sup>, Michela Tarnani, MD<sup>1</sup>, Marco Morucci, MD<sup>1</sup>, Cristina Mastini, Data Manager<sup>1</sup>, Assunta Silvestri, MD<sup>2</sup>, Alessandro Andriani, MD<sup>4</sup>, Marco Montanaro, MD<sup>4</sup>, Silvia Ciambella, Nurse Coordinator<sup>1</sup>, Roberto Latagliata<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hematology, Belcolle Hospital, Viterbo, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Diagnostica Clinica, Laboratorio di Citofluorimetria - ASL Viterbo, Belcolle Hospital, Viterbo, Italy

<sup>3</sup>SIMT - ASL Viterbo, Belcolle Hospital, Viterbo, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Hematology, Myeloma Unit, Belcolle Hospital, Viterbo, Italy

<sup>5</sup>Hematology, Belcolle Hospital, Rome, Italy

**Background** Treatment of Multiple Myeloma (MM) is often difficult due to patient (pts) frailty, bone lesions/fractures that can hinder transport and/or long distance from the hospital. The availability in the Viterbo province of a Domiciliary Hematologic Care Unit (DHCU) allowed to overcome these difficulties.

**Patients and methods** Herein, the experience in 15 patients with MM treated as outpatients [10 at home (HC) and 5 in a Long Term Residential Accommodation (LTRA)] with subcutaneous (sc) daratumumab (dara) is reported, as part of the Myel-Home project. The initial 2 dara administrations, either intravenous or sc, were given in hospital to prevent side effects, while subsequent administrations were done as outpatients: the first dara administration as outpatient was done by nurse and physician, while subsequent ones by nurse only.

**Results:** The main clinical features of pts at baseline of dara initiation are shown in the Table. Different reasons for outpatient management were: advanced age (> 80 years) in 3 pts, illness related symptoms in 8 pts, social conditions and/or long distance in 4 pts. Distance from DHCU to pts home was < 20 Km in 4 cases (27%), ≥ 20 < 40 Km in 10 (66%) and ≥ 40 Km in 1 (7%). Different lines of treatment and different schemes are reported in the Table: among 7 pts treated in 1<sup>st</sup> line, 3 were transplant eligible and 4 transplant ineligible. On the whole, 110 administrations of sc-dara were performed by DHCU nurses as outpatient: during and/or immediately after home administration, only one pt had adverse events (grade 2 allergic reaction according WHO), leading to dara permanent discontinuation after the 2nd dose at home. Main adverse events during the course of domiciliary treatment were infections (pneumonia in 4 pts, sepsis in 2, cystitis in 1) and deep vein thrombosis in 1 pts. Moreover, 4/5 patients (80%) in LTRA had infections (which were fatal in 3 of them) compared to 3/10 pts (30%) in HC with only 1 related death. Two pts were not yet evaluable for response and one pt discontinued early: among the evaluable 12 pts, 1 achieved a stringent complete remission and 4 a very good partial remission, with an overall response rate of 42%, 5 pts had a stable disease and 2 pts a disease progression. At the last follow-up, 8 pts are alive (2 waiting for transplant procedure) and 7 pts died (3 from disease progression, 3 from infective complications and 1 from heart disease).

**Conclusions:** Treatment at home with sc-dara in frail pts with MM is feasible and safe with improved quality of life, making possible a curative approach frontline as well as in advanced phases of disease also in subjects otherwise excluded by best available therapies or forced to long periods of hospitalization: it is worth of note that pts living in LTRA were at high risk of infective complications, thus limiting the advantages of a domiciliary management compared to pts living at our own house. In the next future, within the Myel-Home project, other monoclonal antibodies (belantamab-mafodotin and talquetamab) will be available for home administration.

**Disclosures Latagliata:** Novartis: Honoraria; Janssen: Honoraria; Celgene: Honoraria; BMS: Honoraria.

<https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-187362>

**Table – Main clinical features at daratumumab start**

<b>Number of pts</b>	15		
<b>Median Age, years (range)</b>	72 (58 – 84)		
<b>M/F, n° (%)</b>	8/7 (53.4/46.6)	<b>Reason for home management, n° (%)</b>	Long distance and/or social condition 4 (26.6) Illness 8 (53.4) Age > 80 years 3 (20.0)
<b>Type of MM, n° (%)</b>	IgG(K) 6 (40.0)	<b>Treatment schedule, n° (%)</b>	Dara-RD 6 (40.0)
	IgG(λ) 2 (13.3)		Dara-VTD 4 (26.6)
	IgA(K) 1 (6.8)		Dara-d 1 (6.8)
	IgA(λ) 2 (13.3)		Dara-VD 2 (13.3)
	LC (K) 2 (13.3)		Dara-VMP 2 (13.3)
	LC(λ) 2 (13.3)	<b>Phase of disease, n° (%)</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> line treatment 7 (46.6)
			2 <sup>nd</sup> line 7 (46.6)
			>2 <sup>nd</sup> line 1 (6.8)

**Figure 1**